

DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

HELD AT 7.00 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, 14 DECEMBER 2011

DECISIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Please note that the order of business was varied by resolution of the Committee, however for ease of reference the decisions taken are set out below in the order detailed on the agenda.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Councillor Helal Abbas.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor	Item(s)	Type of interest	Reason
Councillor Md. Maium Miah	8.2	Personal	Lived in the Ward concerned. Had received correspondence from interested parties.
Kosru Uddin	8.2	Personal	Had received correspondence from interested parties. Council Representative on the Thames Gateway Development Corporation Planning Committee.
Marc Francis	7.1	Personal	Ward Member for Bow East Had received

			correspondence from interested parties.
	8.2	Personal	Had received correspondence from interested parties.
Helal Uddin	8.2	Personal	Had received correspondence from interested parties.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

The Committee **RESOLVED**

That the unrestricted minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 16th November 2011 be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee **RESOLVED** that:

- 1) In the event of changes being made to recommendations by the Committee, the task of formalising the wording of those changes is delegated to the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal along the broad lines indicated at the meeting; and
- 2) In the event of any changes being needed to the wording of the Committee's decision (such as to delete, vary or add conditions/informatives/planning obligations or reasons for approval/refusal) prior to the decision being issued, the Corporate Director, Development and Renewal is delegated authority to do so, provided always that the Corporate Director does not exceed the substantive nature of the Committee's decision.

5. PROCEDURE FOR HEARING OBJECTIONS

The Committee noted the procedure for hearing objections, together with details of persons who had registered to speak at the meeting.

6. DEFERRED ITEMS

Nil Items.

7. PLANNING APPLICATIONS FOR DECISION

7.1 Old Ford Lock, 51 Dace Road, London (PA/11/01263)

Councillor Marc Francis moved an amendment to the recommendation, seconded by Councillor Kosru Uddin reducing the time period for the permission to 1st March 2012 to 31st October 2012 (from 1st January 2012 to 31st December 2012). On a vote of 5 in favour 0 against and 1 abstention, this was **AGREED**.

On a unanimous vote the Committee **RESOLVED**

1. That planning permission be **GRANTED** for the installation of a 25m temporary lattice mast, complete with 12 antennas and four dish antennas, associated radio equipment cabinets within a secure compound, for a period not exceeding 1st March 2012 to 31st October 2012 (as amended by the Committee) subject the imposition of the conditions and informatives set out in the report; and
2. That the Corporate Director Development & Renewal is delegated power to impose conditions and informatives on the planning permission to secure the matters set out in the report.

8. OTHER PLANNING MATTERS

8.1 Appeals Report

RESOLVED

That that details and outcomes of the appeals as set out in the report be noted.

8.2 Land at Virginia Quay off Newport Avenue, Newport Avenue, London, E14

On a unanimous vote it was **RESOLVED**

- (1) That the Development Committee formally object to the application made to the London Thames Gateway Development Corporation (LTGDC) in relation to Land at Virginia Quay off Newport Avenue, Newport Avenue, London, E14 for the Erection of 12 storey residential building (measuring 42.6m AOD in height) including basement storage/plant area to provide 26 residential dwellings and associated works comprising access, landscaping, car parking and other works
- (2) That such formal objection be made on the grounds of:
 - Overdevelopment in the form of loss of day light/sunlight.

- Increased overshadowing.
- The proposed density of the scheme given the low Public Transport Accessibility Level rating.
- Concerns over the provision of affordable housing given the proposals fell short of policy requirements.
- That a number of the proposed units fell below the space standards required in policy.
- Inadequate details regarding: sunlight, overshadowing, landscaping, energy, water use, air quality, waste, noise and vibration.
- Inadequate consultation.

AMAN DALVI
INTERIM CHIEF EXECUTIVE

(Please note that the wording in this document may not reflect the final wording used in the minutes.)